

On the orthogonal complementarity of closed submodules of Hilbert C^* -modules

Qingxiang Xu

(Dept. of Math., Shanghai Normal Univ., China)

“Hilbert C^* -Modules Online Weekend” organized by
Michael Frank, Vladimir Manuilov, Evgenij Troitsky

December 5-6, 2020



Table of Contents

- 1 The generalized Douglas theorem
- 2 The polar decomposition
- 3 The generalized parallel sum
- 4 Halmos' two projections theorem
- 5 References

The Douglas theorem

Theorem (Range inclusion-Factorization-Majorization)

Let H be a *Hilbert space*, and $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$;
- (ii) $A = BC$ for some $C \in \mathbb{B}(H)$;
- (iii) $AA^* \leq k^2 BB^*$ (or equivalently $\|A^*\| \leq k\|B^*\|$) for some $k \geq 0$.

Moreover, if (i)–(iii) are valid, then there exists a unique operator $C \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ (known as the *reduced solution*) so that

- (a) $\|C\|^2 = \inf \{ \mu \mid AA^* \leq \mu BB^* \}$;
- (b) $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(C)$;
- (c) $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}$.

The orthogonal complementarity

Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra, H and K be (right) Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules. The set of adjointable operators from H into K is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

For every $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, let $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ denote the range and the null space of T , respectively.

A closed submodule M of H is said to be **orthogonally complemented in H** if $H = M \dot{+} M^\perp$, where

$$M^\perp = \{x \in H : \langle x, y \rangle = 0, \forall y \in M\}.$$

In this case, the projection from H onto M is denoted by P_M .

An observation

Proposition

Let M and N be closed submodules of H such that $N \subseteq M$ and M is orthogonally complemented in H . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) N is orthogonally complemented in H ;
- (ii) N is orthogonally complemented in M .

Proof.

(i) \implies (ii): Let P_N denote the projection from H onto N . Since $N \subseteq M$, $P_M - P_N$ is a projection. Therefore, M can be decomposed orthogonally as $M = N \dot{+} \mathcal{R}(P_M - P_N)$.

(ii) \implies (i): Let X denote the orthogonal part of N in M . Then clearly, $H = N \dot{+} N^\perp$, where $N^\perp = X \dot{+} M^\perp$. □

An asymmetry

Let H and K be Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$. It is known that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ is closed if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is closed.

Problem

Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Whether $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^)}$ is also orthogonally complemented?*

The answer can be negative.

Proposition (Xu-Fang, 2017)

There exist C^ -algebra \mathfrak{A} , Hilbert C^* -modules H and K over \mathfrak{A} , and an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented in H , whereas $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ fails to be orthogonally complemented in K .*

A classical majorization result

A well-known result reads as follows.

Proposition

Let A and B be bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H . If $AA^* \leq BB^*$, then $\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$.

Proof.

For every $x \in H$, $\|A^*x\| \leq \|B^*x\|$. So there exists an operator $V : \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ such that $VB^* = A^*$. An extension of V can be given naturally as $U = VP_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)}}$. Then $U \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ satisfying $UB^* = A^*$, hence $A = BU^*$, which gives $\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$. \square

Suppose that H is a Hilbert space. Then

- Every closed subspace is orthogonally complemented in H ;
- Every bounded linear operator on H is adjointable.

Range inclusion \implies Factorization?

Proposition (Fang-Moslehian-Xu, 2018)

There exist $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $T' \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T') \subsetneq \mathcal{R}(T)$, whereas $TX = T', X \in \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ has no solution.

Proof.

Let E be any countably infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, $\mathbb{B}(E)$ (resp. $\mathbb{K}(E)$) be the set of all bounded (resp. compact) linear operators on E . Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{B}(E)$, $H = \mathbb{B}(E)$ and $K = \mathbb{K}(E)$. Then H and K are Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules in the standard way.

Choose any element s in K_+ such that $\overline{sK} = K$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be defined by

$$T(x) = sx, \quad \text{for every } x \in H.$$

Then such an operator T will meet the demanding. □

Majorization \implies Factorization?

Let A and B be positive. Then clearly,

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \leq (A + B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[(A + B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^*.$$

Proposition (Luo-Song-Xu, 2019)

There exist a Hilbert C^ -module H and $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)_+$ such that the operator equation $A^{1/2} = (A + B)^{1/2}X$ with $X \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has no solution.*

Note that neither A nor B constructed in [Luo-Song-Xu, 2019] is a projection, so it is interesting to solve the following problem:

Problem

Find a Hilbert C^ -module H and two projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that the equation $P = (P + Q)^{1/2}X$ with $X \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has no solution.*

A solution

- V.M. Manuilov, M.S. Moslehian, Q. Xu.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020), no. 3, 1139-1151.

The construction of P and Q :

Let M_2 be the set of all 2×2 matrices. Set

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{f \in C([0, 1]; M_2) : f(0), f(1) \text{ are diagonal}\}, \quad (1.1)$$

and put $H = \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathfrak{A}$, since \mathfrak{A} is unital.

Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be projections determined by

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q(t) = \begin{pmatrix} c_t^2 & c_t s_t \\ c_t s_t & s_t^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_t = \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t$ and $s_t = \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Extremely discomplementable projections

Definition

Two projections P and Q are said to be **extremely discomplementable** if

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(P + Q)}, \overline{\mathcal{R}(P + I - Q)}, \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - P + Q)}, \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - P + I - Q)}$$

are all not orthogonally complemented in H .

Based on the modification of (1.1) by deleting the term “ $f(0), f(1)$ are diagonal”, two extremely discomplementable projections can then be constructed.

The reduced solution

Definition

Let E, H and K be Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $C \in \mathcal{L}(E, K)$. An operator $D \in \mathcal{L}(E, H)$ is said to be **the reduced solution** to the system

$$AX = C, \quad X \in \mathcal{L}(E, H), \quad (1.2)$$

if $AD = C$ and $\mathcal{R}(D) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$.

Note that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$, so the reduced solution (if it exists) is unique.

The generalized Douglas theorem

Theorem (Fang-Moslehian-Xu, 2018)

For every $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ is *orthogonally complemented* in H ;
- (ii) Given every $C \in \mathcal{L}(E, K)$ with $\mathcal{R}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, system (1.2) has *the reduced solution*.

Problem

Whether ‘the reduced solution’ in item (ii) can be replaced with ‘a solution’?

Up to now, we have no answer.

Now we move to the second topic: the polar decomposition.

Hilbert space case

Let H, K be Hilbert spaces. An element $U \in \mathbb{B}(H, K)$ is called a partial isometry if U^*U is a projection. Let $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|T^*| = (TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for every $T \in \mathbb{B}(H)$.

It is well-known that every $T \in \mathbb{B}(H, K)$ can be decomposed as

$$T = U|T| \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{N}(U) = \mathcal{N}(T), \quad (2.1)$$

where U is a partial isometry. Such a decomposition is unique, and simultaneously T^* can be decomposed as

$$T^* = U^*|T^*| \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{N}(U^*) = \mathcal{N}(|T^*|).$$

- P. R. Halmos, A Hilbert Space Problem Book (2nd Edn).
New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982

Hilbert C^* -module case

Theorem (Wegge-Olsen, Thm 15.3.7)

The following statements are equivalent for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$:

- (i) T has the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$, where $U \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a partial isometry for which $\mathcal{N}(U) = \mathcal{N}(T)$ and

$$\mathcal{N}(U^*) = \mathcal{N}(T^*), \quad \mathcal{R}(U) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}, \quad \mathcal{R}(U^*) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)};$$

- (ii) $H = \mathcal{N}(|T|) \dot{+} \overline{\mathcal{R}(|T|)}$ and $H = \mathcal{N}(T^*) \dot{+} \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$;
 (iii) Both $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(|T|)}$ are orthogonally complemented in H .

Remark

- (1) For densely defined Hilbert C^* -module operators, see M. Frank and K. Sharifi, J. Operator Theory 64 (2) (2010), 377–386. (2) **Conditions in item (i) can be simplified.**

A simplified version

Theorem (Liu-Luo-Xu, 2018)

The following statements are equivalent for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$:

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ are both orthogonally complemented;
- (ii) There exists a (unique) $U \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ such that

$$T = U|T| \quad \text{with} \quad U^*U = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}}. \quad (2.2)$$

In each case, $T^* = U^*|T^*|$ with $UU^* = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}}$.

Remark

In the Hilbert C^* -module case, condition (2.2) can be treated as the definition of the polar decomposition, which can not be simplified as (2.1).

The orthogonal complemented case

Proposition

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be such that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Let $U \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be a partial isometry satisfying

$$T = U|T| \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(U). \quad (2.3)$$

Then $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T .

Remark

In the Hilbert space case, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}$ is always orthogonally complemented, so if a partial isometry U satisfies (2.3), then $U|T|$ is exactly the polar decomposition of T .

An interesting example

Proposition

There exists a matrix $T \in M_3$ with the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $T^{2n-1} = U^{2n-1}|T^{2n-1}|$ is the polar decomposition of T^{2n-1} ;
- (ii) $T^{2n} \neq U^{2n}|T^{2n}|$.

Example

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

n -centered operators

Definition

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has the polar decomposition $T = U|T|$, and n is a natural number. Then T is said to be **n -centered** if for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$T^k = U^k |T^k|$$

is the polar decomposition of T^k . If T is n -centered for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is said to be a **centered operator**.

Proposition (Liu-Luo-Xu, 2019)

For every $n \geq 2$, there exist a Hilbert space H and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that T is n -centered, whereas T is not $(n + 1)$ -centered.

Now we move to the third topic: the generalized parallel sum.

The case of positive semi-definite matrices

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be **positive semi-definite matrices**. The parallel sum of A and B is defined by

$$A : B = A(A + B)^\dagger B,$$

where $(A + B)^\dagger$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A + B$. It is so named because of its origin in and application to the electrical network theory that

$$(r_1^{-1} + r_2^{-1})^{-1} = r_1(r_1 + r_2)^{-1}r_2$$

is the resistance arising from resistors r_1 and r_2 in parallel.

- W. N. Anderson, Jr. and R. J. Duffin, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 26 (1969), 576–594.

The parallel sum in other settings

- Nonsquare matrices under certain conditions of range inclusions [Mitra-Odell, 1986];
- Positive operators A and B on a Hilbert space such that the range of $A + B$ is closed [Anderson-Schreiber, 1972];
- **General positive operators on a Hilbert space without the range restrictions** [Fillmore-Williams, 1971; Morley, 1989];
- Nonnegative forms [Hassi-Sebestyén-Snoo, 2009];
- Unbounded operators [Kosaki, 2017];
- States of a C^* -algebra [Tarcsey, 2015];
- Linear relations [Arlinskĭĭ, 2020];
- **Adjointable operators A and B on a Hilbert C^* -module such that the range of $A + B$ is closed** [Luo-Song-Xu, 2019].

The background

Let H and K be Hilbert C^* -modules. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is **Moore-Penrose invertible**, that is, $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed. Denote by

$$T\{1\} = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(K, H) : TXT = T\}.$$

Each element T^- in $T\{1\}$ is called a $\{1\}$ -inverse of T .

Definition

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be such that $A + B$ is **Moore-Penrose invertible**. Then A and B are said to be parallel summable if $A(A + B)^- B$ is invariant under any choice of $(A + B)^-$. In such case, the parallel sum of A and B is denoted by

$$A : B = A(A + B)^\dagger B.$$

Parallel summable condition

Proposition

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be such that $A + B$ is Moore-Penrose invertible. Then A and B are parallel summable if and only if

$$\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A + B), \quad \mathcal{R}(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}[(A + B)^*]. \quad (3.1)$$

Remark

Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)_+$ are such that $A + B$ is Moore-Penrose invertible. Then $\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A + B)$, and thus (3.1) is satisfied.

Proposition

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be such that $|A + B| = |A| + |B|$ and $A + B$ is Moore-Penrose invertible. Then A and B are parallel summable.

Fillmore-Williams's approach

Let H be a **Hilbert space** and $T \in \mathbb{B}(H)$. A direct application of the Douglas theorem (or the polar decomposition of T) gives

$$\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}[(TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}]. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, given any $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)_+$, let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(H \oplus H).$$

Utilizing (3.2) one can obtain the following proposition.

Proposition

Let H be a **Hilbert space** and let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)_+$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{R}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{R}[(A + B)^{\frac{1}{2}}].$$

New definition

Suppose that H is a **Hilbert space** and $A, B \in \mathbb{B}_+(H)$. Let C and D be the reduced solutions of

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}} = (A + B)^{\frac{1}{2}}X \quad \text{and} \quad B^{\frac{1}{2}} = (A + B)^{\frac{1}{2}}Y.$$

Then the parallel sum of A and B is defined by

$$A : B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}C^*DB^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In the special case that $\mathcal{R}(A + B)$ is closed, this parallel sum is exactly equal to $A(A + B)^{\dagger}B$.

- P. A. Fillmore and J. P. Williams, Adv. Math. 7 (1971), 254–281.

The idea

As is shown above, the main tool employed in [Fillmore-Williams] for the parallel sum is the Douglas theorem for bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces. **This tool is only conditionally applicable in the case of Hilbert C^* -module.**

To deal with the parallel sum, some new phenomena may happen if

Hilbert spaces
 Positive operators
 Douglas theorem/the polar decomposition



Hilbert C^* -modules
 Adjointable operators

The polar decomposition & the generalized Douglas theorem

The tractable pair

Definition

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$. Put

$$T_{A,B} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H \oplus K).$$

The pair (A, B) is said to be tractable if $T_{A,B}$ has the polar decomposition.

Theorem (Fu-Moslehian-Xu-Zamani, 2020)

Let $S_{A,B} = (AA^* + BB^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$. Then the pair (A, B) is tractable if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{R}(S_{A,B})}$ is orthogonally complemented in K ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(S_{A,B})$ and $\mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(S_{A,B})$.

The generalized parallel sum

Suppose that items (i) and (ii) are satisfied. By the (conditional) Douglas theorem, both of the systems

$$S_{A,B}X = A \quad (X \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)), \quad S_{A,B}Y = B \quad (Y \in \mathcal{L}(K)) \quad (3.3)$$

have the reduced solutions, which are denoted by C and D , respectively.

Definition

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, and suppose that the pair (A, B) is tractable. **The generalized parallel sum** of A and B is defined by

$$A \circledast B = AC^*(BD^*)^*,$$

where C and D are the reduced solutions to the systems (3.3).

Some properties

Proposition

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, and suppose that the pair (A, B) is tractable. Then the following statements are valid:

- (i) $0 \leq A \circledast B = B \circledast A$.
- (ii) $A \circledast B \leq AA^*$ and $A \circledast B \leq BB^*$.
- (iii) $A \circledast B \leq \frac{1}{4}(AA^* + BB^*)$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{R}(AA^*) \cap \mathcal{R}(BB^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A \circledast B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B)$.
- (v) $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A \circledast B)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B)}$.
- (vi) If $A_n := (AA^* + \frac{1}{n}I)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \circledast B = A \circledast B$ in the strict topology.

⋮

The relationship

Proposition

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, and suppose that A and B have the polar decompositions. Then (A, B) is tractable if and only if $(|A^*|, |B^*|)$ is tractable. In this case,

$$A \circledast B = |A^*| \circledast |B^*| = AA^* : BB^*.$$

It leads a definition as follows:

Definition

If $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)_+$ are such that $(A^{\frac{1}{2}}, B^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is tractable. Then $A^{\frac{1}{2}} \circledast B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $2(A^{\frac{1}{2}} \circledast B^{\frac{1}{2}})$ are denoted by $A : B$ and $A!B$, and are called the parallel sum and the harmonic mean of A and B .

A problem

Proposition

There exist Hilbert C^ -modules H and K , and some $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ such that $(|A^*|, |B^*|)$ is tractable, whereas (A, B) is not tractable.*

Problem

Is it possible to find $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ for some Hilbert C^ -modules H and K such that (A, B) is tractable, whereas $(|A^*|, |B^*|)$ is not tractable?*

Up to now, we have no answer.

Now we move to the last topic: the Halmos' two projections theorem.

The Friedrichs angle

Objects: M and N are two closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H .

Notations:

$$\widetilde{M} = M \cap (M \cap N)^\perp, \quad \widetilde{N} = N \cap (M \cap N)^\perp,$$

$$c(M, N) = \sup \{ |\langle x, y \rangle| : x \in \widetilde{M}, y \in \widetilde{N}, \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1 \}.$$

Definition

The Friedrichs angle [Friedrichs, 1937], denoted by $\alpha(M, N)$, is the unique angle in $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ whose cosine is equal to $c(M, N)$.

A natural question

For every closed subspaces M and N of a Hilbert space H , it is known [Deutsch, 1994] that

$$c(M, N) = \|P_M P_N (I - P_{M \cap N})\|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c(M^\perp, N^\perp) &= \|P_{M^\perp} P_{N^\perp} (I - P_{M^\perp \cap N^\perp})\| \\ &= \|(I - P_M)(I - P_N)(I - P_{M^\perp \cap N^\perp})\|. \end{aligned}$$

Natural question: Whether is it true that

$$c(M, N) = c(M^\perp, N^\perp)?$$

Hilbert space case

A positive answer can be given based on the following norm equation:

$$\|PQ - P_{\mathcal{R}(P) \cap \mathcal{R}(Q)}\| = \|(I - P)(I - Q) - P_{\mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q)}\|, \quad (4.1)$$

where P and Q are any projections on a Hilbert space H .

- F. Deutsch, The angle between subspaces of a Hilbert space, Approximation theory, wavelets and applications (Maratea, 1994), 107–130,

The proof of equation (4.1) given in [Deutsch, 1994] relies on the **Pythagorean theorem**, that is, for every projection P on H , and every element x in H , it has

$$\|x\|^2 = \|P(x)\|^2 + \|(I - P)x\|^2.$$

The motivation

The Pythagorean theorem is no longer true for a general Hilbert C^* -module H , since in this case only an inequality can be obtained:

$$\|x\|^2 = \|a^2 + b^2\| \leq \|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2 = \|P(x)\|^2 + \|(I - P)x\|^2,$$

in which $a = \langle Px, Px \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $b = \langle (I - P)x, (I - P)x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Suppose that H is a Hilbert C^* -module, $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ are two projections such that $\mathcal{R}(P) \cap \mathcal{R}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q)$ are both orthogonally complemented. Is it always true that

$$\|PQ - P_{\mathcal{R}(P) \cap \mathcal{R}(Q)}\| = \|(I - P)(I - Q) - P_{\mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q)}\|? \quad (4.2)$$

Remark

This leads us to study the validity of the above norm equation by constructing unitary operators based on the generalized Halmos' two projections theorem.

A space decomposition

Let K be a **Hilbert space**, $P, Q \in \mathbb{B}(K)$ be two projections. Put

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \mathcal{R}(P) \cap \mathcal{R}(Q), & K_2 &= \mathcal{R}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q), \\ K_3 &= \mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{R}(Q), & K_4 &= \mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_5 = \mathcal{R}(P) - K_1 \oplus K_2, \quad K_6 = \mathcal{N}(P) - K_3 \oplus K_4.$$

With the notation as above, we have

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I & & & & & \\ & I & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & I & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} I & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & \\ & & I & & & \\ & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & T & \\ & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Halmos' two projections theorem

Theorem (Halmos, 1969)

Suppose that K is a **Hilbert space** and $P, Q \in \mathbb{B}(K)$ are projections. Then $K_5 = 0$ if and only if $K_6 = 0$. When $K_5 \neq 0$, the operator T can be written as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} Q_0 & Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}U_0 \\ U_0^*Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & U_0^*(I_{K_5} - Q_0)U_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(K_5 \oplus K_6),$$

where U_0 is a unitary operator from K_6 to K_5 , both Q_0 and $I_{K_5} - Q_0$ are positive, injective and contractive.

- P. Halmos, Two subspaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1969
- C. Deng and H. Du, Acta Math. Sinica (Chinese Series), 2006
- A. Böttcher and I. M. Spitkovsky, Linear Algebra Appl., 2010

An application of Halmos' theorem

Proposition

Let K be a *Hilbert space* and $P, Q \in \mathbb{B}(K)$ be projections. If

$$\mathcal{R}(P) \cap \mathcal{R}(Q) = \{0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(P) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q) = \{0\},$$

then there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathbb{B}(K)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(I - P)(I - Q) &= U \cdot PQ \cdot U^*, \\ I - P + I - Q &= U \cdot (P + Q) \cdot U^*.\end{aligned}$$

Outline of the proof By assumption $K_1 = \{0\}$ and $K_4 = \{0\}$.

Case 1: $K_5 \neq \{0\}$; equivalently, $K_6 \neq \{0\}$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}P &= I_{K_2} \oplus 0_{K_3} \oplus I_{K_5} \oplus 0_{K_6}, \\ Q &= 0_{K_2} \oplus I_{K_3} \oplus T.\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$PQ = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus T_1, (I - P)(I - Q) = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus T_2,$$

$$P + Q = I_{K_2} \oplus I_{K_3} \oplus T_3, I - P + I - Q = I_{K_2} \oplus I_{K_3} \oplus T_4,$$

in which

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_0 & Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}U_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -U_0^*Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & U_0^*Q_0U_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{K_5} + Q_0 & Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}U_0 \\ U_0^*Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & U_0^*(I_{K_5} - Q_0)U_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{K_5} - Q_0 & -Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}U_0 \\ -U_0^*Q_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_{K_5} - Q_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & U_0^*(I_{K_5} + Q_0)U_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the operator U defined by

$$U = I_{K_2} \oplus I_{K_3} \oplus \tilde{U} \in \mathbb{B}(K_2 \oplus K_3 \oplus K_5 \oplus K_6)$$

will meet the demanding, where

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -U_0 \\ U_0^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(K_5 \oplus K_6).$$

Case 2: $K_5 = \{0\}$; equivalently, $K_6 = \{0\}$. In this case,

$$PQ = 0, (I - P)(I - Q) = 0,$$

$$P + Q = I_{K_2} \oplus I_{K_3} = I - P + I - Q.$$

The pair of harmonious projections

Definition

Two projections P and Q on a Hilbert C^* -module H are said to be *harmonious* if the four closures

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(P + Q)}, \overline{\mathcal{R}(P + I - Q)}, \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - P + Q)}, \overline{\mathcal{R}(I - P + I - Q)}$$

are all orthogonally complemented in H .

Proposition

Two projections P and Q on a Hilbert C^* -module H are harmonious if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}[PQ(I - P)]}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}[(I - P)QP]}$ are both orthogonally complemented in H .

The generalized Halmos' two projections theorem

In the Hilbert C^* -module case, an additional condition of

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}[Q_0(I_{K_5} - Q_0)]} = K_5$$

has to be added, where Q_0 appears in the expression of T . This condition will be satisfied automatically in the Hilbert space case, since $\mathcal{N}[Q_0(I_{K_5} - Q_0)] = \{0\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}[Q_0(I_{K_5} - Q_0)]}$ is always orthogonally complemented in H_5 .

Theorem (Luo-Moslehian-Xu, 2019; Xu-Yan, 2020)

Let P, Q be projections on a Hilbert C^ -module H . Then the Halmos' two projections theorem is valid if and only if P and Q are harmonious.*

Remark






Based on the Halmos' two projections theorem, a positive answer can be given to the validity of the norm equation (4.2).







Concluding remark






It is known that a closed submodule of a Hilbert C^* -module may fail to be orthogonally complemented. Due to this weakness, some new phenomena may happen compared with that in a Hilbert space.

In the framework of adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, we have reported some of our recent joint works on the generalized Douglas theorem, the polar decomposition, the generalized parallel sum and the generalized Halmos' two projections theorem.

References

-  X. Fang, M.S. Moslehian and Q. Xu, On majorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, Linear Multilinear Algebra 66 (2018), no. 12, 2493–2500.
-  R.G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 413–415.
-  V.M. Manuilov, M.S. Moslehian and Q. Xu, Solvability of the equation $AX = C$ for operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020), no. 3, 1139–1151.
-  M. Frank and K. Sharifi, Generalized inverses and polar decomposition of unbounded regular operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, J. Operator Theory 64 (2010), no. 2, 377–386.
-  N. Liu, W. Luo and Q. Xu, The polar decomposition for adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules and centered operators, Adv. Oper. Theory 3 (2018), no. 4, 855–867.

-  N. Liu, W. Luo and Q. Xu, The polar decomposition for adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules and n -centered operators, Banach J. Math. Anal. 13 (2019), no. 3, 627–646.
-  N.E. Wegge-Olsen, K -Theory and C^* -Algebras: A Friendly Approach, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, England, 1993.
-  W.N. Anderson, Jr. and R.J. Duffin, Series and parallel addition of matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 26 (1969), 576–594.
-  P.A. Fillmore and J.P. Williams, On operator ranges, Adv. Math. 7 (1971), 254–281.
-  C. Fu, M.S. Moslehian, Q. Xu and A. Zamani, Generalized parallel sum of adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, Linear Multilinear Algebra, to appear
-  W. Luo, C. Song and Q. Xu, The parallel sum for adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, (Chinese) Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 62 (2019), no. 4, 541–552.

-  A. Böttcher and I. M. Spitkovsky, A gentle guide to the basics of two projections theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010), no. 6, 1412–1459.
-  F. Deutsch, The angle between subspaces of a Hilbert space, *Approximation theory, wavelets and applications (Maratea, 1994)*, 107–130, *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. Math. Phys. Sci.*, 454, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
-  P. Halmos, Two subspaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (1969), 381–389.
-  W. Luo, M.S. Moslehian and Q. Xu, Halmos' two projections theorem for Hilbert C^* -module operators and the Friedrichs angle of two closed submodules, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 577 (2019), 134–158.
-  Q. Xu and G. Yan, Harmonious projections and Halmos' two projections theorem for Hilbert C^* -module operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 601 (2020), 265–284

Thank you for your attention