

## Lecture 4

## 1.7 Positive elements

**Definition 1.32.** A self-adjoint element  $a$  in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called *positive*, if  $\text{Sp}(a) \subset [0, \infty)$ . If  $A$  is not unital, then  $a$  is called *positive*, if it is positive in  $A^+$ .

Positivity is written as  $a \geq 0$ . For two self-adjoint elements  $a, b \in A$  we say that  $a \geq b$  if  $a - b \geq 0$ .

**Problem 29.** Show that if  $a \geq 0$  and  $0 \geq a$ , then  $a = 0$ ; and also that  $-\|a\|1 \leq a \leq \|a\|1$  for every self-adjoint  $a$ .

Now let's look at applications of continuous functional calculus to positivity.

**Corollary 1.33.** *Let  $a \in A$  be a positive element. Then there exists a unique positive square root  $b$  of  $a$ , that is,  $b \geq 0$  such that  $b^2 = a$ .*

*Proof.* The function  $f(z) = \sqrt{z}$  is defined and continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , so  $b = f(a)$  is defined. It is self-adjoint and even positive (since  $f$  maps  $[0, \infty)$  to itself) and  $b^2 = f(a)^2 = a$  (by corollary 1.28). If  $c$  is another positive square root of  $a$ , then  $c = f(c^2) = f(a) = b$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.34.** *Let  $a \in A$  be a self-adjoint element. Then there are positive elements  $a_+, a_- \in A$ , such that  $a = a_+ - a_-$  and  $a_+ a_- = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , putting  $f(x) = x$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ . Let's denote  $g(x) = f(-x)$ . These functions satisfy  $f(x) - g(x) = x$  and  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . It remains to put  $a_+ = f(a)$ ,  $a_- = g(a)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.35.** *For a self-adjoint element  $a \in A$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a \geq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $a = b^2$  for some self-adjoint  $b$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\mu 1 - a\| \leq \mu$  for every  $\mu \geq \|a\|$ ;
- (iv)  $\|\mu 1 - a\| \leq \mu$  for some  $\mu \geq \|a\|$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 1.33, from (i) it follows (ii). Moreover, (iii) implies (iv) by evident reasons.

Let us show that (ii) implies (iii). By assumption,  $a = f(b)$ , where  $f(x) = x^2$ . Moreover, the norm of  $f$  on  $\text{Sp}(b)$  is equal to  $\|a\|$ , so  $0 \leq \mu - x^2 \leq \mu$  for any  $\mu \geq \|a\|$  and  $x \in \text{Sp}(b)$ . Since  $\mu 1 - a = (\mu - f)(b)$ , then  $\|(\mu - f)(b)\|$  equals the norm of  $\mu - f$  on  $\text{Sp}(b)$ , which does not exceed  $\mu$ .

Let us finally show that (iv) implies (i). Since, for some  $\mu \geq \|a\|$ , we have  $\|\mu - x\| = \sup_{x \in \text{Sp}(a)} |\mu - x| \leq \mu$ , then no  $x \in \text{Sp}(a)$  can be negative.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.36.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are positive, then  $a + b$  is positive.*

*Proof.* Let us choose some  $\mu \geq \|a\|$ ,  $\nu \geq \|b\|$ . Then  $\|a + b\| \leq \mu + \nu$  and the item (iii) of Corollary 1.35 implies

$$\|(\mu + \nu)1 - (a + b)\| = \|(\mu 1 - a) + (\nu 1 - b)\| \leq \|\mu 1 - a\| + \|\nu 1 - b\| \leq \mu + \nu.$$

Therefore, by item (iv) of Corollary 1.35,  $a + b$  is positive.  $\square$

**Problem 30.** If  $0 \leq a \leq b$ , then  $\|a\| \leq \|b\|$ . *Hint:* As we know,  $b \leq \|b\| \cdot 1_A$ . Thus  $a \leq \|b\| \cdot 1_A$ , i.e.  $\text{Sp}(\|b\| \cdot 1_A - a) \subset [0, +\infty)$ . From  $\text{Sp}(\mu 1_A - a) = \mu - \text{Sp}(a)$  deduce that  $\|a\| = \max\{\lambda \in \text{Sp}(a)\}$  equals  $\min\{\mu: \text{Sp}(\mu \cdot 1_A - a) \subset [0, +\infty)\}$ . This implies the statement.

The following Proposition 1.38 is almost obvious for operators in a Hilbert space, but is very nontrivial for elements of a  $C^*$ -algebra. We will need the following result about spectra of products.

**Lemma 1.37.** *If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $\text{Sp}(ab) \cup \{0\} = \text{Sp}(ba) \cup \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq \lambda \notin \text{Sp}(ab)$ . This means that  $(ab - \lambda 1) = -\lambda(1 - \lambda^{-1}ab)$  is invertible, so there exists an element  $u \in A$  such that  $(1 - \lambda^{-1}ab)u = 1$ . Let us set  $v = 1 + \lambda^{-1}bua$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda^{-1}ba)v &= (1 - \lambda^{-1}ba)(1 + \lambda^{-1}bua) = 1 - \lambda^{-1}ba + \lambda^{-1}bua - \lambda^{-2}babua = \\ &= 1 - \lambda^{-1}ba + \lambda^{-1}b(1 - \lambda^{-1}ab)ua = 1 - \lambda^{-1}ba - \lambda^{-1}ba = 1, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}(ba)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.38.** *The element  $a^*a$  is positive for every  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a^*a$  is self-adjoint, we can write  $a^*a = b_+ - b_-$  by Corollary 1.34. Let  $c := \sqrt{b_-}$ ,  $t := ac$ . notice, that  $f(0) = 0$  for  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ , so  $c$  is approximated by polynomials in  $b_-$  without a free term, which means  $cb_+ = 0$ . We have:

$$-t^*t = -c(b_+ - b_-)c = b_-^2. \quad (1.6)$$

Therefore,  $-t^*t$  is positive.

Let us write  $t$  in the form  $t = x + iy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are self-adjoint elements of  $A$  (that is  $x = (t + t^*)/2$ ,  $y = (t - t^*)/2i$ ). Then  $t^*t + tt^* = 2(x^2 + y^2)$  is positive by Corollary 1.36. By the same consequence, we see that the element

$$tt^* = (t^*t + tt^*) - t^*t = (t^*t + tt^*) + b_-^2$$

is also positive, that is,  $\text{Sp}(tt^*) \subset [0, \infty)$ . By Lemma 1.37,  $\text{Sp}(t^*t) \subset [0, \infty)$ , so  $t^*t$  is positive. But it is also negative by (1.6), so  $t^*t = 0$  for problem 29. This means  $b_- = 0$ , since positive square root is unique.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.39.** *If  $b \leq c$ , then  $a^*ba \leq a^*ca$  for any  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $c-b$  is positive, then  $c-b = d^2$  for some self-adjoint  $d$ ; That's why  $a^*(c-b)a = a^*d^2a = (da)^*(da) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.40.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible and  $0 \leq a \leq b$ , then  $b^{-1} \leq a^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first consider the special case  $b = 1$ . Then  $\text{Sp}(a) \subseteq [0, 1]$ . According to the spectral mapping theorem, we see that  $\text{Sp}(a^{-1}) \subseteq [1, \infty)$ , so  $a^{-1} \geq 1$ . Let's pass to the general case. Since, by Corollary 1.39,  $b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2} \leq 1$ , then  $b^{1/2}a^{-1}b^{1/2} \geq 1$  by the first part of the proof. Multiplying this inequality by  $b^{-1/2}$  on both sides and again applying corollary of 1.39, we obtain  $a^{-1} \geq b^{-1}$ .  $\square$

## 1.8 Approximate identity

Let  $\Lambda$  be a directed set. A family  $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  elements of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called an *approximate identity (unit)*, if  $\lim_\Lambda \|xu_\lambda - x\| = 0$  for  $x \in A$  (and therefore  $\lim_\Lambda \|u_\lambda x - x\| = 0$ ). If  $A$  is unital, then we can take  $u_\lambda = 1$  for any  $\lambda$ , so this concept is of interest only for non-unital algebras. In the definition of an approximate unit also include the conditions  $0 \leq u_\lambda \leq 1$  and  $u_\lambda \leq u_\mu$  for all  $\lambda \leq \mu$  from  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 1.41.** *Every  $C^*$ -algebra has an approximate unit.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Lambda = \{a \in A \mid a \geq 0; \|a\| < 1\}$ . An order on  $\Lambda$  is given by  $\leq$ . Let us show that the set of elements  $\Lambda$ , indexed tautologically ( $a$  has index  $a$ ), is an approximate unit.

First of all, we need to check that  $\Lambda$  is a directed set, that is, for any two elements  $a, b \in \Lambda$  there is a  $c \in \Lambda$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$ . Let  $f(t) := \frac{t}{1-t}$  and  $g(t) := \frac{t}{1+t}$ . Moreover, the function  $f$  is defined on  $[0, 1)$ , the function  $g$  is defined on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $g(f(t)) = t$ . Let us put  $x := f(a)$ ,  $y := f(a) + f(b)$ ,  $c := g(y)$ . Since  $0 \leq g(t) < 1$ , then  $c \in \Lambda$ . The inequality  $x \leq y$  implies  $1 + x \leq 1 + y$ , so  $(1 + x)^{-1} \geq (1 + y)^{-1}$  and

$$a = 1 - (1 + x)^{-1} \leq 1 - (1 + y)^{-1} = c.$$

The inequality  $b \leq c$  can be obtained similarly, so we have verified that the set  $\Lambda$  is directed.

Now let's check that  $\lim_\Lambda \|x - ax\| = 0$  for every  $x \in A$ . Since by Corollary 1.34 each element can be decomposed into a linear combination of four positive elements:

$$x = \frac{x + x^*}{2} + i \frac{x - x^*}{2i} = \left( \frac{x + x^*}{2} \right)_+ - \left( \frac{x + x^*}{2} \right)_- + i \left( \frac{x - x^*}{2i} \right)_+ - i \left( \frac{x - x^*}{2i} \right)_-, \quad (1.7)$$

then it is sufficient to verify the statement for  $x \geq 0$ . Since for  $a \in \Lambda$  we have  $0 \leq 1-a \leq 1$ , then by Corollary 1.39,  $(1-a)^{1/2}(1-a)(1-a)^{1/2} \leq (1-a)^{1/2}(1-a)^{1/2} = 1-a$ . That's why (see Problem 30)

$$\|(1-a)x\|^2 = \|x^*(1-a)^2x\| \leq \|x^*(1-a)x\|,$$

and it is sufficient to verify that  $\lim_\Lambda \|x(1-a)x\| = 0$  for any  $x \geq 0$  from  $A$ , and without loss of generality we can assume that  $\|x\| = 1$ .

Similar to the previous reasoning, if  $a, b \in \Lambda$  and  $a \leq b$  then  $\|x^*(1-b)x\| \leq \|x^*(1-a)x\|$ , so, for  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{b \in \Lambda, b \geq a} \|x(1-b)x\| = \|x(1-a)x\|.$$

Therefore we need to show that, for any positive  $x \in A$  of norm one and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an element  $a \in \Lambda$  such that  $\|x^*(1-a)x\| < \varepsilon$ . Let us put  $a_n := g(nx)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see the beginning of the proof). Then  $\|x(1-a_n)x\| = \|h(x)\|$ , where  $h(t) := t^2(1-g(nt)) = \frac{t^2}{1+nt}$ . For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $0 \leq h(t) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ , so  $\|h(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Hence,

$$\sup_{b \in \Lambda, b \geq a_n} \|x(1-b)x\| = \|x(1-a_n)x\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

for any  $n$ , so  $\lim_{b \in \Lambda} \|x(1-b)x\| = 0$ . □

**Definition 1.42.** An approximate unit is called *countable*, if the set  $\Lambda$  is countable.

**Corollary 1.43.** A separable  $C^*$ -algebra has a countable approximate unit.

*Proof.* Let us choose a dense sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $A$ . Then there is an element  $a_1 \in A$ ,  $0 \leq a_1$ ,  $\|a_1\| < 1$  such that  $\|x_1 a_1 - x_1\| \leq 1$  (see the proof of the previous theorem). Suppose by induction that we have already found such  $a_2, \dots, a_n$ ,  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ , such that, for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the inequalities  $\|a_k\| < 1$  and  $\|x_i a_k - x_i\| \leq \frac{1}{k}$  are satisfied for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Now let's find an element  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$  with norm  $\|a_{n+1}\| < 1$ , such that  $\|x_i a_{n+1} - x_i\| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . Because the sequence  $(x_n)$  is dense in  $A$ , then by induction we obtain a non-decreasing sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  positive elements of the unit ball with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x a_n - x\| = 0$  for each  $x \in A$ . Indeed, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find an element  $x_i$  such that  $\|x - x_i\| < \varepsilon/3$ , and for  $x_i$  we can find a number  $j$  such that  $\|x_i a_k - x_i\| < \varepsilon/3$  for all  $k > j$ . Then for these  $k$

$$\|x a_k - x\| = \|x_i a_k - x_i + (x - x_i) a_k + x - x_i\| < \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 \|a_k\| + \varepsilon/3 \leq \varepsilon.$$

□

**Problem 31.** Prove that the converse is not true: an algebra with countable approximate unit does not have to be separable.